arXiv:1505.02659v2 [math.RT] 21 Apr 2017

Smoothing operators and C^*-algebras for infinite dimensional Lie groups

Karl-Hermann Neeb, Hadi Salmasian, Christoph Zellner

April 24, 2017

Abstract

A smoothing operator for a unitary representation $\pi : G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ of a (possibly infinite dimensional) Lie group G is a bounded operator $A : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ whose range is contained in the space $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ of smooth vectors of $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$. Our first main result characterizes smoothing operators for Fréchet–Lie groups as those for which the orbit map $\pi^A : G \to B(\mathcal{H}),\ g \mapsto \pi(g)A$ is smooth. For unitary representations $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ which are semibounded, i.e., there exists an element $x_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that all operators $i\mathtt{d}\pi(x)$ from the derived representation, for x in a neighborhood of x_0, are uniformly bounded from above, we show that $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ coincides with the space of smooth vectors for the one-parameter group $\pi_{x_0}(t) = \pi(\exp tx_0)$. As the main application of our results on smoothing operators, we present a new approach to host C^*-algebras for infinite dimensional Lie groups, i.e., C^*-algebras whose representations are in one-to-one correspondence with certain continuous unitary representations of G. We show that smoothing operators can be used to obtain host algebras and that the class of semibounded representations can be covered completely by host algebras. In particular, the latter class permits direct integral decompositions.

Keywords: host algebra, smooth vector, infinite dimensional Lie group, smoothing operator, multiplier algebra, unitary representation.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 22E65, 22E45.

Introduction

If G is a locally compact group, then a Haar measure on G leads to the convolution algebra $L^1(G)$, and we obtain a C^*-algebra $C^*(G)$ as the enveloping C^*-algebra of $L^1(G)$. This C^*-algebra has the universal property that each (continuous) unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ defines a unique non-degenerate representation of $C^*(G)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ and, conversely, each non-degenerate representation of $C^*(G)$ arises from a unique unitary representation of G. This correspondence is a central tool in the harmonic analysis on G because the well-developed theory of C^*-algebras provides a powerful machinery to study the set of all irreducible representations of G, to endow it with a natural topology and to understand how to decompose representations into irreducible ones or factor representations.

For infinite dimensional Lie groups (modeled on locally convex spaces) there is no natural analog of the convolution algebra $L^1(G)$, so that we cannot hope to find a C^*-algebra whose representations are in one-to-one correspondence with all unitary representations of G. However, in [10] H. Grundling introduces the notion of a *host algebra* of a topological group G. This is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, \eta)$, consisting of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and a morphism $\eta\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(M(\mathcal{A}))$ of G into the unitary group of its multiplier algebra $M(\mathcal{A})$ with the following property: For each non-degenerate representation π of $\mathcal{A}$ and its canonical extension $\widetilde{\pi}$ to $M(\mathcal{A})$, the unitary representation $\widetilde{\pi} \circ \eta$ of G is continuous and determines π uniquely. In this sense, $\mathcal{A}$ is hosting a certain class of representations of G. A host algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called *full* if it is hosting all continuous unitary representations of G. Now it is natural to ask to which extent infinite dimensional Lie groups possess host algebras. If $G = (E, +)$ is an infinite dimensional locally convex space, then the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations identifies naturally with the dual space E', and since this space carries no natural locally compact topology, one cannot expect the existence of a full host algebra in general. Therefore one is looking for host algebras that accommodate certain classes of continuous unitary representations.

For a unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of a finite dimensional Lie group G and $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, the operator $\pi(f) = \int_G f(g)\pi(g)\,dg$ has the nice property that its range consists of smooth vectors (Gårding's Theorem) and the image of $C^*(G)$ in $B(\mathcal{H})$ is generated by these operators. We take this as a starting point of our construction of host algebras: We call a bounded operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ *smoothing* if its range consists of smooth vectors. Our first main result (Theorem 2.1) is a characterization of smoothing operators asserting in particular that A is a smoothing operator if and only if the map

$$\pi^A \colon G \to B(\mathcal{H}), \quad g \mapsto \pi(g)A$$

is smooth with respect to the norm topology on $B(\mathcal{H})$. That the smoothness of π^A implies that A is smoothing is trivial, but the converse is a powerful tool whose applications we start to explore in the present paper (see Section 6 for further applications). It is amazing that nothing but the metrizability of G, resp., of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, is needed in Theorem 2.1.

To use smoothing operators for the construction of host algebras, one needs operators that are naturally constructed from the representation. For instance, if $i \colon H \to G$ is a smooth homomorphism, where H is a finite dimensional Lie group and $\pi_H := \pi \circ i$ has the same smooth vectors as π, then any operator $\pi_H(f) = \int_H f(h)\pi(i(h))\,dh$, $f \in C_c^\infty(H)$, is a natural smoothing operator and the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ generated by $\pi(G)\pi_H(C_c^\infty(H))\pi(G)$ is a host algebra whose representations correspond to smooth representations of G (Theorem 4.1).

In view of this observation, one would like to understand such situations systematically. In Section 3 we show that semibounded representations lead to an abundance of such situations for $H = \mathbb{R}$. More precisely, if $x_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ has a neighborhood U such that the operators $i\mathtt{d}\pi(x)$, $x \in U$, are uniformly bounded from above, then the one-parameter group $\pi_{x_0}(t) := \pi(\exp tx_0)$ has the same smooth vectors as π (Theorem 3.1). As this theorem is already far from trivial, and new, for finite dimensional Lie groups,[1] it is quite remarkable that it holds for any infinite dimensional Lie group G. The key tool in its proof is an application of a variation of Nelson's Commutator Theorem ([31], [34]), which can be proved by methods of abstract interpolation theory. Nelson's Commutator Theorem is an interesting complement to Nelson's famous theorem that, if $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a finite dimensional Lie group G and $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation, then

$$\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\Delta) \quad \text{for} \quad \Delta := \overline{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathtt{d}\pi(x_j)^2}$$

(Corollary 9.3 in [30]). In this sense the essentially selfadjoint operator $i\mathtt{d}\pi(x_0)$ plays for a semibounded representation a similar role as Nelson's Laplacian Δ for a representation of a finite dimensional Lie group. This situation clearly demonstrates that, although one has very general tools that work for all representations of finite dimensional Lie groups, different classes of representations of infinite dimensional groups require specific but nevertheless equally powerful methods.

In Section 4 we show that, if all smooth vectors for the unitary representation π_{x_0} of $\mathbb{R}$ are smooth for π, then

$$\mathcal{A} := C^*\left(\pi(G)e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)}\pi(G)\right) \tag{1}$$

is a host algebra for G. From that we derive that, for every subset $C \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ in the topological dual space of $\mathfrak{g}$ which is weak-$*$ closed, convex and $\mathrm{Ad}^*(G)$-invariant and whose support functional $s_C(x) := \sup\langle C, x\rangle$ is bounded on some non-empty open subset of $\mathfrak{g}$, there exists a natural host algebra $\mathcal{A}_C$ whose representations are precisely those semibounded representations of G for which $s_\pi(x) := \sup \mathrm{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)) \leq s_C(x)$ holds for every $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ (Corollary 4.1). Actually, these are precisely the C^*-algebras in (1) from a different perspective. This generalizes the corresponding result for the finite dimensional case (Section 8 in [20]) and for the case where G is a locally convex space (Section 7 in [20]). The present results on host algebras via smoothing operators complement the approach via complex semigroups and holomorphic extension of unitary representations described in [20], [17], [38], [39] which suffers from the difficulties in constructing suitable complex semigroups for infinite dimensional groups. These are particularly nasty for the Virasoro group because it has no Lie group complexification (cf. [32], [33]). For positive energy representations of the Virasoro group, an alternative approach to the existence of direct integral decompositions based on realizations by holomorphic sections has been developed in [27]. We conclude this paper with a brief discussion of criteria for the liminality of the constructed host algebras in Section 5 and some remarks on further applications of the present results in Section 6.

---

[1] In [18] it is proved for irreducible semibounded (=highest weight) representations of finite dimensional Lie groups.

The techniques developed in the present paper have already found several applications; some which were quite unexpected. We showcase these applications below.

C^*-algebras for Lie supergroups

For finite dimensional Lie supergroups $(G, \mathfrak{g})$, we define in [28] a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{g})$ whose non-degenerate representations are in one-to-one correspondence with unitary representations of $(G, \mathfrak{g})$. However, the methods and arguments of [28] rely heavily on finite dimensionality of $(G, \mathfrak{g})$. In a forthcoming article, we are able to go beyond the finite dimensional case by using smoothing operators and the methods developed in Section 4 for constructing host algebras. We are able to construct universal C^*-algebras for certain infinite dimensional Lie supergroups such as the central extension of the restricted orthosymplectic group and also the Neveu–Schwarz and the Ramond supergroup, whose even part is the Virasoro group.

Automatic regularity of representations

In [40], Theorem 3.4 has been used to obtain criteria for continuous representations of a Lie group to be semibounded, hence in particular smooth. This applies in particular to positive energy representations of so-called oscillator groups $G = \mathrm{Heis}(V, \omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$, where V is the space of smooth vectors of a unitary one-parameter group $t \mapsto \alpha(t)$ in a complex Hilbert space, and ω is given by the imaginary part of the scalar product. It also applies to positive energy representations of double extensions of loop groups with compact target group, and the Virasoro group.

Schwartz operators and tracability

The concept of smoothing operators has been studied further in [4], where we show that, for an operator $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$, both S and S^* are smoothing if and only if S is a *Schwartz operator*, which roughly means that all operators $\mathtt{d}\pi(D_1)S\mathtt{d}\pi(D_2)$, $D_j \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, are bounded. In [4] we also show that a unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of a finite dimensional Lie group G is trace class (that is, all operators $\pi(f)$, $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, are trace class) if and only if all smoothing operators are trace class.

Host algebras for semibounded representations

For double extensions of infinite dimensional loop groups and for hermitian Lie groups (corresponding to infinite dimensional symmetric Hilbert domains) irreducible semibounded representations have been classified in [25] and [24]. The same has been achieved for the Virasoro group in [27]. The construction of host algebras in Section 4 provides natural C^*-algebras for the semibounded representations of these important classes of infinite dimensional Lie groups.

Not all C^*-algebras associated to semibounded representations are of type I. In [38] it is shown that, for certain oscillator groups, the semibounded representation theory is not type I, which is inherited by the corresponding host algebras. Similar phenomena occur in the representation theory of gauge groups [13, 14], where UHF algebras and some of their generalizations, such as certain infinite tensor products of the algebra of compact operators, arise naturally.

Notation and terminology

Let E and F be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ open and $f \colon U \to F$ a map. Then the *derivative of f at x in the direction h* is defined as

$$\mathtt{d}f(x)(h) := (\partial_h f)(x) := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(x + th) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t}(f(x + th) - f(x))$$

whenever it exists. The function f is called *differentiable at x* if $\mathtt{d}f(x)(h)$ exists for all $h \in E$. It is called *continuously differentiable* if it is differentiable at all points of U and

$$\mathtt{d}f \colon U \times E \to F, \quad (x, h) \mapsto \mathtt{d}f(x)(h)$$

is a continuous map. Note that this implies that f is continuous and that the maps $\mathtt{d}f(x)$ are linear (cf. Theorem 3.2.5 in [12], Lemma 2.2.14 in [9]). The map f is called a *C^k-map*, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, if it is continuous, the iterated directional derivatives

$$\mathtt{d}^j f(x)(h_1, \ldots, h_j) := (\partial_{h_j} \cdots \partial_{h_1} f)(x)$$

exist for all integers $1 \leq j \leq k$, $x \in U$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_j \in E$, and all maps $\mathtt{d}^j f \colon U \times E^j \to F$ are continuous. As usual, C^∞-maps are called *smooth*.

If E and F are complex locally convex spaces, then f is called *holomorphic* if it is C^1 and, for each $x \in U$ the map $\mathtt{d}f(x) \colon E \to F$ is complex linear.

If E and F are real locally convex spaces, then we call a map $f \colon U \to F$, $U \subseteq E$ open, *real analytic* or a C^ω-map, if, for each point $x \in U$ there exists an open neighborhood $V \subseteq E_{\mathbb{C}}$ and a holomorphic map $f_{\mathbb{C}} \colon V \to F_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $f_{\mathbb{C}}|_{U \cap V} = f|_{U \cap V}$ (cf. [16]). Any analytic map is smooth, and the corresponding chain rule holds without any condition on the underlying spaces, which is the key to the definition of analytic manifolds (see [7] for details).

Once the concept of a smooth function between open subsets of locally convex spaces is established, it is clear how to define a locally convex smooth manifold (cf. [19], [9]). For $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and C^r-manifolds M and N, we write $C^r(M, N)$ for the space of C^r-maps from M to N.

A *(locally convex) Lie group* G is a group equipped with a smooth manifold structure modeled on a locally convex space for which the group multiplication and the inversion are smooth maps. We write $\mathbf{1}$ for the identity element in G. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{L}(G)$ is identified with the tangent space $T_{\mathbf{1}}(G)$. The Lie bracket is obtained by identification with the Lie algebra of left invariant vector fields. We call G a Banach, resp., a Fréchet–Lie group if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Banach, resp., a Fréchet space. Note that the multiplication map of G defines a smooth left action $G \times TG \to TG, (g, v) \mapsto g \cdot v$ for which the restriction $G \times \mathfrak{g} \to TG$ is a diffeomorphism. A smooth map $\exp \colon \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is called an *exponential function* if each curve $\gamma_x(t) := \exp(tx)$ is a one-parameter group with $\gamma_x'(0) = x$. A Lie group G is said to be *locally exponential* if it has an exponential function which maps an open 0-neighborhood U in $\mathfrak{g}$ diffeomorphically onto an open subset of G. If G is a Lie group, then the metrizability of G (as a topological group) is equivalent to G being first countable, and this is equivalent to the topology on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ to be defined by a sequence of seminorms.

Throughout this paper all Lie groups are assumed to have an exponential function.

1 Differentiable vectors

In this section we refine some of the results in [26] concerning C^k-vectors for continuous representations $\pi \colon G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of a Lie group G on a locally convex space V. The main result is Theorem 1.1 which provides a description of the subspace $V^n \subseteq V$ of C^n-vectors in terms of the selfadjoint operators $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, obtained as infinitesimal generators of the one-parameter groups $\pi_x(t) := \pi(\exp tx)$.

Definition 1.1. (a) Let G be a Lie group with an exponential function and (π, V) be a continuous representation on the locally convex space V. Here continuity means that the corresponding action map $G \times V \to V$ is continuous. For $v \in V$ we write

$$\pi^v \colon G \to V, \quad g \mapsto \pi(g)v$$

for the orbit map. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, we write

$$V^n := V^n(\pi) := \{v \in V \colon \pi^v \in C^n(G, V)\}$$

for the subspace of *C^n-vectors* in V. This is a G-invariant subspace of V.

(b) For every $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, we obtain a representation of the additive group $\mathbb{R}$ by $\pi_x(t) := \pi(\exp tx)$ and we write

$$\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x) \colon \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)) := V^1(\pi_x) \to V, \quad \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \pi(\exp tx)v$$

for its *infinitesimal generator*. Composition of operators defined on subspaces of V is defined in the usual way. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we consider the subspaces

$$\mathcal{D}^n := \mathcal{D}^n(\pi) := \bigcap_{x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_n) \cdots \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_1)) \quad \text{and put} \quad \mathcal{D}^\infty := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}^n.$$

For $v \in \mathcal{D}^n$, we obtain a map

$$\omega_v^n \colon \mathfrak{g}^n \to V, \quad (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_1) \cdots \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_n)v.$$

Clearly, $V^n \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$ and, for every $v \in V^n$, the map ω_v^n is continuous and n-linear. Below we shall encounter several contexts in which every $v \in \mathcal{D}^n$ is a C^n-vector, at least if we assume that the maps ω_v^k are continuous for $k \leq n$ (cf. Theorem 1.1). This equality is crucial if one wants to show that specific elements of V are C^n-vectors.

(c) For an operator $A \colon \mathcal{D}(A) \to V$, we shall use the notation

$$\mathcal{D}^\infty(A) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}(A^n).$$

If V is a Banach space, we write

$$\mathcal{D}^\omega(A) := \Big\{ v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(A) \colon (\exists r > 0) \sum_{n \geq 0} r^n \frac{\|A^n v\|}{n!} < \infty \Big\}.$$

Definition 1.2. For a locally convex space V over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, we denote the topological dual (the space of continuous linear functionals $V \to \mathbb{K}$) by V' and endow it with the weak-$*$-topology defined by the seminorms $\alpha \mapsto |\alpha(v)|$, $v \in V$. Then the natural map $V' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}^V$ is a topological embedding.

For a representation (π, V) of a Lie group on V, we obtain a natural dual representation $\pi^*(g)\alpha := \alpha \circ \pi(g)^{-1}$ on V'. In general, this action is not continuous with respect to the weak-$*$-topology, but every orbit map $(\pi^*)^\alpha \colon G \to V'$ is continuous, because, for every $v \in V$, the map

$$\pi^{\alpha,v} \colon G \to \mathbb{K}, \quad \pi^{\alpha,v}(g) := \alpha(\pi(g)v) = (\pi^*)^\alpha(g^{-1})(v)$$

is continuous. We write

$$V'_{C^1} := \{\alpha \in V' \colon (\forall v \in V)\, \pi^{\alpha,v} \in C^1(G, \mathbb{K})\}$$

for the subspace of C^1-vectors for the representation π^* on V'.

Definition 1.3. We call a locally convex space V *integral complete* if, for every continuous function $f \colon [0,1] \to V$, the integral $\int_0^1 f(t)\, dt$ exists in V, i.e., there exists a $w \in V$ such that, for every continuous linear functional $\alpha \in V'$ we have $\alpha(w) = \int_0^1 \alpha(f(t))\, dt$ (cf. [8]). Sequentially complete spaces are integral complete. For a characterization of sequentially complete spaces in terms of a completeness property, we refer to [37].

Lemma 1.1. *Assume that the subspace $V'_{C^1} \subseteq V'$ of weak-$*$ C^1-vectors separates the points of V and that V is integral complete. Then the following assertions hold:*

(a) *If $v \in \mathcal{D}^1$ is such that the map $\omega_v \colon \mathfrak{g} \to V, x \mapsto \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v$ is continuous, then $v \in V^1$.*

(b) *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}^n$, the map ω_v^n is n-linear.*

Proof. (a) Let $\gamma \colon [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \to G$ be a smooth curve with $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\gamma'(0) = x$, and

$$\xi : [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \to \mathfrak{g}\ , \ \xi(t) := \gamma(t)^{-1} \cdot \gamma'(t)$$

be its left logarithmic derivative. For $\alpha \in V'_{C^1}$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}^1$ we now obtain

$$\mathtt{d}\pi^{\alpha,v}(g \cdot x) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \pi^{\alpha,v}(g \exp tx) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \alpha(\pi(g)\pi_x(t)v) = \alpha(\pi(g)\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v) \tag{2}$$

and thus

$$\frac{d}{dt}\alpha(\pi(\gamma(t))v) = \frac{d}{dt}\pi^{\alpha,v}(\gamma(t)) = \mathtt{d}\pi^{\alpha,v}(\gamma(t) \cdot \xi(t)) = \alpha\big(\pi(\gamma(t))\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(\xi(t))v\big). \tag{3}$$

Put $\beta(t) := \pi(\gamma(t))v$ for $|t| \leq \varepsilon$. The curve $\eta(t) := \pi(\gamma(t))\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(\xi(t))v = \pi(\gamma(t))\omega_v(\xi(t))$ is continuous because ω_v is continuous and the action of G on V is continuous. By (3), for each $\alpha \in V'_{C^1}$, the function $\alpha \circ \beta$ is differentiable and $(\alpha \circ \beta)'(t) = \alpha(\eta(t))$. Since $\alpha \circ \eta$ is continuous, it follows that

$$\alpha\Big(\int_0^t \eta(\tau)\, d\tau\Big) = \int_0^t \alpha(\eta(\tau))\, d\tau = \alpha(\beta(t) - \beta(0)).$$

As V'_{C^1} separates the points of V, we obtain $\beta(t) = \beta(0) + \int_0^t \eta(\tau)\, d\tau$. Now the continuity of η implies that β is C^1 with $\beta'(0) = \eta(0) = \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v$. Finally, Lemma 3.3. in [23] shows that v is a C^1-vector.

(b) Let $v \in \mathcal{D}^1$. For every $\alpha \in V'_{C^1}$, we have $\alpha(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v) = \mathtt{d}\pi^{\alpha,v}(\mathbf{1})x$, which is linear in x. As V'_{C_1} separates the points of V, it follows that $\omega_v^1 \colon \mathfrak{g} \to V$ is linear. By induction, we now see that the maps $\omega_v^n \colon \mathfrak{g}^n \to V$, $v \in \mathcal{D}^n$, are n-linear. $\square$

The following examples show why the assumption of G to be Fréchet is crucial for the equality $\mathcal{D}^k = V^k$.

Example 1.1. We consider the unitary representation of the Banach–Lie group $G := (L^p([0,1],\mathbb{R}),+)$, $p \in [1,\infty[$, on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2([0,1],\mathbb{C})$ by $\pi(g)f := e^{ig}f$. In Section 10 of [23] we have seen that this representation is continuous with

$$\mathcal{D}^k = \begin{cases} \{0\} \text{ for } k > \frac{p}{2} \\ L^\infty([0,1]) \text{ for } k = \frac{p}{2} \\ L^{\frac{2p}{p-2k}}([0,1]) \text{ for } k < \frac{p}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{D}^1$ is dense for $p \geq 2$. As G is a Fréchet space, it follows from Theorem 6.3 in [26] that, for $p \geq 2$, we have $\mathcal{H}^k = \mathcal{D}^k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now let $q > p$ and consider the subgroup $H := L^q([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ of G which is a Lie group in its own right with respect to the subspace topology. As this subspace is not closed, H is not a Fréchet–Lie group. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of H can be identified with the subspace $L^q([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathfrak{g} = L^p([0,1],\mathbb{R})$. For this Lie algebra, we find with (4)

$$\mathcal{D}^k(\mathfrak{h}) = \begin{cases} \{0\} \text{ for } k > \frac{q}{2} \\ L^\infty([0,1]) \text{ for } k = \frac{q}{2} \\ L^{\frac{2q}{q-2k}}([0,1]) \text{ for } k < \frac{q}{2}. \end{cases}$$

For $k < q/2$ it follows in particular that $\mathcal{D}^k(\mathfrak{h})$ is strictly larger than $\mathcal{D}^k = \mathcal{D}^k(\mathfrak{g})$. However, the subspace of those elements $v \in \mathcal{D}^k(\mathfrak{h})$ for which the n-linear maps $\omega_v^n \colon \mathfrak{h}^n \to \mathcal{H}$, $n \leq k$, are continuous coincides with the smaller space $\mathcal{D}^k(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{H}^k$. In particular, H and G have the same spaces of C^k-vectors.

The following theorem generalizes the criterion for C^k-vectors for locally exponential Lie groups given in Lemma 3.4 in [23] to general Lie groups and part (ii) generalizes Theorem 6.3 in [26] to non-unitary representations.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (π, V) be a continuous representation of the Lie group G with exponential map on the integral complete locally convex space V such that the subspace $V'_{C^1} \subseteq V'$ of weak-$*$-C^1 vectors for the adjoint representation on V' separates the points of V. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a vector $v \in V$ is a C^k-vector if and only if $v \in \mathcal{D}^k$ and the maps ω_v^n, $n \leq k$, are continuous. In particular, $v \in V^\infty$ if and only if $v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map ω_v^n is continuous.*

(ii) *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is metrizable and Baire, e.g., Fréchet, and V is metrizable, then $\mathcal{D}^n = V^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, i.e., every element of $\mathcal{D}^n$ is a C^n-vector.*

Proof. (i) If v is a C^k-vector, then $v \in \mathcal{D}^k$ and the maps $\omega_v^n, n \leq k$, are continuous and n-linear because they arise as partial derivatives of the orbit map π^v (cf. Remark 3.2 in [23]).

Conversely, let $v \in \mathcal{D}^k$ such that the maps $\omega_v^n, n \leq k$, are continuous. From Lemma 1.1(b) we know that ω_v^n is n-linear. For $k = 1$, Lemma 1.1(a) implies that v is a C^1-vector. Assume that $k > 1$. Since $v \in V^1$, the differential of the orbit map $\pi^v(g) = \pi(g)v$ is a continuous map

$$T(\pi^v) : T(G) \simeq G \times \mathfrak{g} \to V\ ,\ (g,x) \mapsto T(\pi^v)(g,x) = \pi(g)\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v.$$

It remains to prove that $T(\pi^v)$ is C^{k-1}. As $T(\pi^v)(g,x) = \pi^w(g)$ for $w := \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v \in \mathcal{D}^{k-1}$, our induction hypothesis implies that $w \in V^{k-1}$. Thus $T(\pi^v)$ has directional derivatives of order $j \leq k-1$ and they are sums of terms of the form $\pi(g)\omega^j_w(x_1,\ldots,x_j)$ for $j \leq k-1$. As w is a C^{k-1}-vector, all these maps are continuous (Remark 3.2(a) in [23]). We conclude that $T(\pi^v)$ is a C^{k-1} map, i.e., π^v is C^k.

(ii) Suppose that V is metrizable and that $\mathfrak{g}$ is metrizable and Baire. We argue by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that the maps ω^n_v, $v \in \mathcal{D}^n$, are continuous. For $n=0$, the constant map $\omega^0_v = v$ is continuous. Assume $n > 0$ and that $\omega^{n-1}_w \colon \mathfrak{g}^{n-1} \to V$ is a continuous $(n-1)$-linear map for every $w \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1}$.

Hence, for $t > 0$, the maps $F_t \colon \mathfrak{g}^n \to V$, defined by

$$F_t(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n) := \frac{1}{t}\Big(\pi(\exp(tx_1))\omega^{n-1}_v(x_2,\ldots,x_n) - \omega^{n-1}_v(x_2,\ldots,x_n)\Big)$$

are continuous and satisfy

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{\frac{1}{n}}(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n) = \omega^n_v(x_1,\ldots,x_n).$$

Fix $y_k \in \mathfrak{g}$ for $k \neq j$ and consider the linear map

$$f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to V, \quad f(x) := \omega^n_v(y_1,\ldots,y_{j-1},x,y_{j+1},\ldots,y_n).$$

Since V is metrizable and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Baire space, it follows from Example 22(a) in Ch. IX, §5 of [3] that the set of discontinuity points of f is of the first category, hence not all of $\mathfrak{g}$. We conclude that there exists a point in which f is continuous, so that its continuity follows from linearity. As $\mathfrak{g}$ is Baire metrizable, the continuity of ω^n_v follows from Corollary 1.6 in [2]. Now (ii) follows from (i). ☐

The following corollary is a tool to identify the smooth vectors for the left multiplication action on $B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by a unitary representation of G (cf. Theorem 2.1 below).

Corollary 1.1. *Let $(\rho,\mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation of G for which the subspace $\mathcal{H}^1$ of C^1-vectors is dense. For the following representations we have that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a vector $v \in \mathcal{H}$ is a C^k-vector if and only if $v \in \mathcal{D}^k$ and the maps ω^n_v are continuous for $n \leq k$:*

(i) *The representation of G on $V := \{\, T \in B(\mathcal{H}) \colon g \mapsto \rho(g)T \ \text{ is continuous}\}$ given by left multiplication $\pi(g)(T) := \rho(g)T$.*

(ii) *The representation $\alpha(g,h)(A) := \rho(g)A\rho(h)^{-1}$ of $G \times G$ on the subspace $V \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ of continuous vectors for this representation.*

Proof. In both cases the linear functionals $\alpha_{v,w}(A) := \langle Av, w\rangle$, where $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$ are C^1-vectors, are contained in $B(\mathcal{H})'_{C^1}$ and separate the points. Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 1.1(i). ☐

2 Smoothing operators for unitary representations

In this section we introduce the core concept of this paper:

Definition 2.1. For a unitary representation $(\pi,\mathcal{H})$ of a Lie group G on $\mathcal{H}$, an operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called a *smoothing operator* if $A(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty$.

It will turn out that this class of operators is extremely useful when it comes to constructing host algebras for G (Section 4). The main result of this section is the Characterization Theorem 2.1. Its main point is the fact that, if G is metrizable, then A is a smoothing operator if and only if the map

$$\pi^A \colon G \to B(\mathcal{H}), \quad g \mapsto \pi(g)A$$

is smooth with respect to the norm topology on $B(\mathcal{H})$. We start with a brief discussion of various types of examples.

2.1 Examples of smoothing operators

Example 2.1. Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a continuous unitary representation of G.

(a) If G is finite dimensional and $C^\infty_c(G)$ is the space of test functions on G, then, for every $f \in C^\infty_c(G)$, the operator $\pi(f) = \int_G f(g)\pi(g)\,dg$ is a smoothing operator.

Since the integrated representation $\pi\colon L^1(G) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is G-equivariant, we see that, more generally, for every $f \in L^1(G)$, which is a smooth vector for the left translation action of G on $L^1(G)$, the operator $\pi(f)$ is smoothing. Since the left regular representation λ of G on $L^1(G)$ is a continuous Banach representation, we have $L^1(G)^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty$ (Theorem 1.3 in [23]). Integrating against test functions further shows that

$$\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x)f = -L_X f \quad \text{with} \quad (L_X f)(g) = \mathtt{d}f(g)(X.g)$$

in the sense of distributions. From this discussion one can derive that $f \in L^1(G)$ is a smooth vector if and only if $f \in C^\infty(G)$ with $D * f \in L^1(G)$ for every $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.27 in [15], where a similar result for $L^2(G)$ is derived from Sobolev's Lemma).

(b) Suppose that $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is such that the operator $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi(x)}$ has the same smooth vectors as G, i.e., $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi(x)}) = \mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi_x)$ for $\pi_x(t) = \pi(\exp tx)$. Then (a) implies that, for every $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ for which all derivatives $f^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are integrable, the operator

$$\pi_x(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\pi(\exp tx)\,dt$$

is a smoothing operator. This holds in particular for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. In view of the Spectral Theorem for selfadjoint operators, it even suffices that all functions $x \mapsto x^n \widehat{f}(x)$ are bounded, i.e., that $\widehat{f}$ vanishes rapidly at infinity.

(c) Assume that G is finite dimensional and let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be a basis of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\Delta) \quad \text{for} \quad \Delta := \overline{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathtt{d}\pi(x_j)^2}$$

(Corollary 9.3 in [30]) and since Δ has non-positive spectrum, it follows that the contraction semigroup $(e^{t\Delta})_{t>0}$, which is an abstract version of the heat semigroup on $L^2(G)$, consists of smoothing operators.

Example 2.2. (Smoothing operators by holomorphic extension) Suppose that the Lie group G sits in a complex Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and that $S \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an open subsemigroup satisfying $GS \subseteq S$.

We assume that $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation for which there exists a holomorphic representation $\widehat{\pi}\colon S \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\widehat{\pi}(gs) = \pi(g)\widehat{\pi}(s) \quad \text{for} \quad g \in G, s \in S$$

(see [17] and [20] for a detailed discussion of such situations). For every $s \in S$, the map $G \to B(\mathcal{H}), g \mapsto \pi(g)\widehat{\pi}(s) = \widehat{\pi}(gs)$ is smooth because $\widehat{\pi}$ is holomorphic. Therefore $\pi(S)$ consists of smoothing operators.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation, $\iota_H\colon H \to G$ a morphism of Lie groups and $\pi_H := \pi \circ \iota_H$. Assume that H is finite dimensional. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi_H)$.

(ii) *All operators $\pi_H(f)$, $f \in C^\infty_c(H)$, are smoothing.*

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii) follows from Example 2.1(a).

(ii) ⇒ (i): If all operators $\pi_H(f)$, $f \in C^\infty_c(H)$, are smoothing, then the Dixmier–Malliavin Theorem ([6]) shows that $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi_H) = \pi_H(C^\infty_c(H))\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi_H)$. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\pi_x(t) := \pi(\exp tx)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi(x)})$.

(ii) *All operators $\pi_x(f)$, $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$, are smoothing.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ *be a unitary representation,* $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ *and* $\pi_x(t) := \pi(\exp tx) = e^{t\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)}$*. Suppose that* $i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)$ *is bounded from above. Then all operators* $(e^{it\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)})_{t>0}$ *are smoothing if and only if* $\mathcal{D}^\omega(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty$*.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the subspace $\bigcup_{t>0} e^{it\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)}\mathcal{H}$ coincides with the space $\mathcal{D}^\omega(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x))$ of analytic vectors. ☐

Example 2.3. Let H be a finite dimensional Lie group and M a homogeneous space of H. Then M carries a smooth nowhere vanishing 1-density which leads to a $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$-quasiinvariant measure μ on M. We assume that M is compact, so that $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ is a Fréchet Lie group. We thus obtain a unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of $G := \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ on $\mathcal{H} := L^2(M, \mu)$. By the Dixmier–Malliavin Theorem ([6]), the subspace of smooth vectors for π_H is generated by the images of the operators $\pi_H(f)$, $f \in C^\infty_c(H)$, and since H acts transitively on M, this implies that $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi_H) \subseteq C^\infty(M)$ which easily implies that $C^\infty(M) = \mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi_H)$.

2.2 A characterization of smoothing operators

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $\mathcal{H}$ *be a complex Hilbert space,* $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $B \colon \mathcal{D}(B) \to \mathcal{H}$ *be a densely defined operator on* $\mathcal{H}$*. Then the following assertions hold:*

(a) *The densely defined operator* $AB \colon \mathcal{D}(B) \to \mathcal{H}$ *is bounded if and only if* $A^*(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(B^*)$*. If this is the case, then* $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$*.*

(b) *If* B^* *is also densely defined, then* A^*B^* *is bounded if and only if* $A(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{B})$*. If this is the case, then* $\overline{B}A$ *is also bounded.*

Proof. (a) Suppose first that AB is bounded. Then, for $v \in \mathcal{H}$, the linear functional

$$\mathcal{D}(B) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad w \mapsto \langle ABw, v\rangle = \langle Bw, A^*v\rangle$$

is continuous. This implies that $A^*v \in \mathcal{D}(B^*)$ and $B^*A^*v = (AB)^*v$.

If, conversely, $A^*\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(B^*)$, then $\mathcal{D}(B^*A^*) = \mathcal{H}$. Since we also have $B^*A^* \subseteq (AB)^*$, we obtain equality. Hence $(AB)^*$ is an everywhere defined closed operator and therefore bounded. This in turn shows that $AB \subseteq \overline{AB} = ((AB)^*)^*$ is bounded.

(b) In view of (a), A^*B^* is bounded if and only if $A(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(B^{**}) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{B})$. Then $\overline{B}A = B^{**}A = (A^*B^*)^*$ is closed and everywhere defined, hence bounded. ☐

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ *be a continuous unitary representation of the Lie group* G *with exponential function. Let* $B(\mathcal{H})_c \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ *denote the space of continuous vectors for the left multiplication action on* $B(\mathcal{H})$ *and write* $\lambda(g)(A) := \pi(g) \circ A$ *for the corresponding continuous representation of* G *on* $B(\mathcal{H})_c$*. Fix* $x \in \mathfrak{g}$*.*

(i) *Let* $A \in B(\mathcal{H})_c$*. If* $A \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x))$*, then* $A(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x))$ *and* $\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x)A = \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)A$*.*

(ii) *Let* $A \in B(\mathcal{H})_c$ *such that the operator* $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)^2A$ *is defined on* $\mathcal{H}$*. Then* $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)^2A$ *is bounded and* $A \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x))$*.*

Proof. Since the operators $\pi(g)$ are unitary, $B(\mathcal{H})_c$ is a norm closed subspace of $B(\mathcal{H})$ on which λ defines a continuous representation of G by isometries.

(i) For $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $v \in \mathcal{H}$, $w \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x))$ and $A \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x))$, we have

$$\langle \overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x)Av, w\rangle = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\langle Av, \pi(\exp(-tx))w\rangle = -\langle Av, \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)w\rangle,$$

so that $A\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)^*) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x))$ and

$$\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x)A = -\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)^* \circ A = \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x) \circ A.$$

(ii) From $A\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x))$ and Lemma 2.1(b) it follows that $B := \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)A$ is bounded. Now let $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a bounded operator satisfying $S\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x))$. By Lemma 2.1(b), the operator $T := \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)S$ is bounded. For every $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|v\| = \|w\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t}\langle(\pi(\exp tx)S - S)v, w\rangle - \langle Tv, w\rangle &= \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \langle \pi(\exp sx)Tv - Tv, w\rangle ds \\ &= \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \langle Tv, \pi(\exp(-sx))w - w\rangle ds. \qquad (5) \end{aligned}$$

From (5) it follows that $\|\pi(\exp(tx))S - S\| \leq 3|t| \cdot \|T\|$, so that $\lim_{t\to 0} \|\pi(\exp(tx))S - S\| = 0$. Setting $S := B = \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)A$ and $T := \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)^2 A$ in (5), we obtain

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \|\pi(\exp tx)B - B\| = 0. \qquad (6)$$

Next, setting $S := A$ and $T := B$ in (5) and using (6), we obtain that the equality

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t}\big(\pi(\exp tx)A - A\big) = B$$

holds in the norm topology on $B(\mathcal{H})$. Since $B(\mathcal{H})_c$ is closed in $B(\mathcal{H})$, we obtain that $B \in B(\mathcal{H})_c$ and $A \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x))$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a continuous unitary representation of the Lie group G with exponential function. Let $B(\mathcal{H})_c \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ denote the space of continuous vectors for the right multiplication action on $B(\mathcal{H})$ and write $\rho(g)A := A\pi(g)^{-1}$ for the corresponding continuous representation of G on $B(\mathcal{H})_c$. Fix $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.*

(i) *Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})_c$ such that $A \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho}(x))$. Then $\|A\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)\| < \infty$ and $\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho}(x)A = -A\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)$.*

(ii) *Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})_c$ such that the operator $A\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)^2$ is bounded. Then $A \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho}(x))$.*

Proof. (i) Clearly, $A \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho}(x))$ if and only if $A^* \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x))$. If this is the case, then Lemma 2.2 implies that $\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x)A^* = \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)A^*$. Then $A\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)$ is bounded by Lemma 2.1 and we obtain

$$\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho}(x)A = \big(\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x)A^*\big)^* = \big(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)A^*\big)^* = -A\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x).$$

(ii) If $A\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)^2$ is bounded, then $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)^2 A^*$ is bounded and defined on $\mathcal{H}$ (Lemma 2.1), so that $A^* \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x))$ by Lemma 2.2, and hence $A \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho}(x))$. $\square$

The following characterization of smooth vectors is an extremely powerful tool. As we shall see in Section 4 below, it can be used to construct C^*-algebras for infinite dimensional Lie groups and we expect a variety of other applications (cf. Section 6).

Theorem 2.1 (Characterization Theorem for smoothing operators)**.** *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a smooth unitary representation of a Lie group G with exponential function and $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Consider the assertions*

(i) *The map $G \to B(\mathcal{H}), g \mapsto \pi(g)A$ is smooth.*

(ii) *The map $G \to B(\mathcal{H}), g \mapsto A^*\pi(g)$ is smooth.*

(iii) *$A\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty$.*

(iv) *$A\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\infty$.*

(v) *All operators $A^*\mathtt{d}\pi(D)$, $D \in U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, are bounded on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$.*

Then we have the implications (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v)*. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is metrizable, then* (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i),(ii) *and if, in addition, $\mathfrak{g}$ is metrizable Baire, e.g., Fréchet, then* (i)-(v) *are equivalent. Condition* (iii) *implies that all operators $\mathtt{d}\pi(D)A, D \in U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, are bounded.*

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from the fact that the map $B \mapsto B^*, B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is real linear and continuous, hence smooth.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): For every $v \in \mathcal{H}$, the map $G \to \mathcal{H}, g \mapsto \pi(g)Av$ is smooth by (i), so that $Av \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\infty$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v): For $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, (iv) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\infty &\subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_1) \cdots \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_n)) = \mathcal{D}(\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1)^* \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)^*) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{D}((\mathtt{d}\pi(x_n) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_1))^*). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1(a) therefore shows that $A^*\mathtt{d}\pi(x_n) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_1)$ is bounded. This implies (v).

We also obtain from Lemma 2.1(a) that the operators $(\mathtt{d}\pi(x_n) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_1))^*A = (-1)^n\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)A$ are bounded, which is the last assertion of the theorem.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Let $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $D \in U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$. Since $A^*\mathtt{d}\pi(D) \colon \mathcal{H}^\infty \to \mathcal{H}$ is bounded, Lemma 2.1(b) implies that $Av \in \mathcal{D}(\mathtt{d}\pi(D)^*)$. For every $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the operator $\mathtt{d}\pi(x)^* = -\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)$ is the infinitesimal generator of the corresponding unitary one-parameter group. We thus obtain by Lemma 2.1(a) that $Av \in \mathcal{D}(\mathtt{d}\pi(x)^*) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x))$ with $\mathtt{d}\pi(x)^*A = (A^*\mathtt{d}\pi(x))^*$ and in particular $Av \in \mathcal{D}^1$.

Suppose, by induction, that

$$A\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n = \bigcap_{x_1,\ldots,x_n \in \mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{D}(\mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)^* \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_1)^*)$$

and

$$(A^*\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n))^* = \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)^* \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_1)^*A.$$

For $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$, the boundedness of $\big(A^*\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)\big)\mathtt{d}\pi(x_{n+1})$, leads with Lemma 2.1(a) to

$$\big(A^*\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)\big)^* v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathtt{d}\pi(x_{n+1})^*)$$

and

$$(A^*\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_{n+1}))^* = \mathtt{d}\pi(x_{n+1})^* \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_1)^*A.$$

In particular, $Av \in \mathcal{D}^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that $Av \in \mathcal{D}^\infty$.

(iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii): If, in addition, $\mathfrak{g}$ is metrizable Baire, then Theorem 1.1(ii) implies that $\mathcal{D}^\infty = \mathcal{H}^\infty$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): We now assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is metrizable. Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a smoothing operator and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have already seen above that, for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, the operator $\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)A$ is bounded. Next we observe that the n-linear map

$$F \colon \mathfrak{g}^n \to B(\mathcal{H}), \quad F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)A$$

has the property that, for every $v \in \mathcal{H}$, the map

$$F^v \colon \mathfrak{g}^n \to \mathcal{H}, \quad F^v(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)Av$$

is continuous because $Av \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$, and therefore $\mathfrak{g}^n$, is metrizable, Proposition 5.1 in [23] implies that F is continuous.

In view of Corollary 1.1(i), we have to show for the left multiplication action $\lambda \colon G \to B(B(\mathcal{H}))$ that $A \in B(\mathcal{H})_c$, that $A \in \mathcal{D}^n(\lambda)$ for every n, and that the corresponding n-linear maps $\omega_A^n \colon \mathfrak{g}^n \to B(\mathcal{H})$ are continuous. First we assume that we have shown that $\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)A \in B(\mathcal{H})_c$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. From Lemma 2.2 we know that, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the domain of $\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x)$ contains all operators $T \in B(\mathcal{H})_c$ for which $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)^2T$ is defined on $\mathcal{H}$ and in this case $\overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x)T = \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)T$. This readily implies that, for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, the operator A is contained in $\mathcal{D}^\infty(\lambda)$ with

$$\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)A = \overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x_1) \cdots \overline{\mathtt{d}\lambda}(x_n)A,$$

so that the map F above coincides with ω_A^n, and thus ω_A^n is continuous.

It now remains to show that (iii) implies $\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)A \in B(\mathcal{H})_c$. First we prove the latter statement for $n = 0$, i.e., that the map $G \to B(\mathcal{H}), g \mapsto \pi(g)A$ is continuous. The same argument then applies to all operators $\mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_n)A$. We have already seen that

$$\omega_A \colon \mathfrak{g} \to B(\mathcal{H}), \quad x \mapsto \mathtt{d}\pi(x) \circ A$$

is continuous. Consider a smooth curve $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to G$ starting in $\mathbf{1}$ and ending in g. Let $\delta(\gamma)\colon [0,1] \to \mathfrak{g}$ denote the left logarithmic derivative of γ, i.e., $\gamma'(t) = \gamma(t)\delta(\gamma)_t$. For $v \in \mathcal{H}$, we then have

$$\pi(\gamma(1))Av - Av = \int_0^1 \pi(\gamma(t))\mathtt{d}\pi(\delta(\gamma)_t)Av\,dt,$$

which leads to the estimate

$$\|\pi(\gamma(1))A - A\| \leq \sup\{\|\omega_A(\delta(\gamma)_t)\|\colon 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

Let $U_{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ be a convex open 0-neighborhood and $\varphi\colon U_{\mathfrak{g}} \to G$ be a chart of G with $\varphi(0) = \mathbf{1}$. If $\theta_G(v_g) := g^{-1} \cdot v_g$ denotes the left Maurer–Cartan form of G, then $\theta := \varphi^*\theta_G \in \Omega^1(U_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g})$ is a smooth 1-form, hence a smooth function on $U_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathfrak{g}$. For $x \in U_{\mathfrak{g}}$, we obtain a smooth curve $\gamma_x(t) := \varphi(tx)$ in G with $\gamma_x(1) = \varphi(x)$. Next we observe that, for $\eta_x(t) = tx$, the map

$$H\colon U_{\mathfrak{g}} \to C([0,1], \mathfrak{g}), \quad H(x)(t) := \delta(\gamma_x)_t = (\eta_x^*\theta)(t) = \theta(tx)(x)$$

is a continuous function because the function $U_{\mathfrak{g}} \times [0,1] \to \mathfrak{g}, (x,t) \mapsto \theta(tx)(x)$ is continuous. We thus obtain in particular $\lim_{x\to 0} \|H(x)\|_\infty = 0$, and this implies

$$\|\pi(\varphi(x))A - A\| = \|\pi(\gamma_x(1))A - A\| \leq \sup\{\|\omega_A\big(H(x)(t)\big)\|\colon 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \to 0.$$

for $x \to 0$. This completes the proof of (i). $\square$

3 Smooth vectors for semibounded representations

In this section we take a closer look at the subspace of smooth vectors for a semibounded unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$. Our main result is the surprisingly general fact that, if $x_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ has a neighborhood U such that the operators $i\mathtt{d}\pi(x)$, $x \in U$, are uniformly bounded from above, then the one-parameter group $\pi_{x_0}(t) := \pi(\exp tx_0)$ has the same smooth vectors as π (Theorem 3.1).

3.1 Scales of Hilbert spaces

Before we turn to the proof of the main theorem of this section, we recall the setting of Nelson's Commutator Theorem (Section X.5 in [34]).

Let $N \geq \mathbf{1}$ be a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $\mathcal{H}_k$ as the completion of the dense subspace $\mathcal{D}(N^{k/2})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the norm

$$\|v\|_k := \|N^{k/2}v\|.$$

For $k \geq 0$, $\mathcal{D}(N^{k/2})$ is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_k$, so that $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{D}(N^{k/2})$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{D}(N^{k/2}) \times \mathcal{H}$ extends to a sesquilinear map $\mathcal{H}_k \times \mathcal{H}_{-k} \to \mathbb{C}$ which exhibits $\mathcal{H}_{-k}$ as the dual space of $\mathcal{H}_k$. We also note that, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the operator $N^{1/2}\colon \mathcal{D}(N^{k/2}) \to \mathcal{D}(N^{(k-1)/2})$ extends to a unitary operator $\mathcal{H}_k \to \mathcal{H}_{k-1}$. For $n \leq m$, the inclusion $\mathcal{D}(N^{m/2}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(N^{n/2})$, extends to a continuous inclusion $\mathcal{H}_m \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$.

Any operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_\ell)$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to $\mathcal{D}(N^{k/2})$, so that $B(\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_\ell)$ can be identified with the space of those linear operators $\mathcal{D}(N^{k/2}) \to \mathcal{H}_\ell$ extending continuously to all of $\mathcal{H}_k$. Then $\|A\|_{k,\ell}$ denotes the corresponding operator norm. This means that, for $A \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\|Av\| \leq \|A\|_{2,0}\|Nv\| \quad \text{for} \quad v \in \mathcal{D}(N).$$

For $A \in B(\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_{-k})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$[N, A] \in B(\mathcal{H}_{k+2}, \mathcal{H}_{-k-2}), \quad [N, A]v := N(Av) - A(Nv) \quad \text{for} \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_{k+2}.$$

If, for each $v \in \mathcal{H}_{k+2}$, we have $[N, A]v \in \mathcal{H}_{-k}$ and there exists a $c \geq 0$ with $\|[N, A]v\|_{-k} \leq c\|v\|_k$ for $v \in \mathcal{H}_{k+2}$, we obtain by continuous extension an operator, also denoted $[N, A]$, in $B(\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_{-k})$.

We will need the following version of Nelson's Commutator Theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_{-1})$ such that $[N, A] \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_{-1})$. Then $A \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H})$ and*

$$\|A\|_{2,0} \ \leq \ \|A\|_{1,-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\|A\|_{1,-1} + \|[N, A]\|_{1,-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 in Section X.5 of [34], we have $A \in B(\mathcal{H}_3, \mathcal{H}_1)$ with

$$\|A\|_{3,1} \leq \|A\|_{1,-1} + \|[N, A]\|_{1,-1}.$$

Now we apply Proposition 9 in App. IX.4 of [34] with $T = N^{-1/2}AN^{-1/2}, B = N^{1/2}$ and $\widetilde{A} = N^{1/2}$ where $\widetilde{A}$ denotes the A in [34]. This yields $A(\mathcal{H}_2) \subset \mathcal{H}$ and (7). Here we use that, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the operator $N^{-1/2} : \mathcal{H}_k \to \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$ is unitary. □

3.2 Specifying smooth vectors by single elements

Definition 3.1. Let G be a locally convex Lie group with exponential function. We call a smooth unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of G *semibounded* if the function

$$s_\pi \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad s_\pi(x) := \sup\big(\mathrm{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x))\big)$$

is bounded on a neighborhood of some point $x_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then the set W_π of all such points x_0 is an open $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$-invariant convex cone. Let $I_\pi \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ (the topological dual) be the *momentum set of* π, i.e., the weak-$*$-closed convex hull of the linear functionals of the form

$$x \mapsto -i\frac{\langle \mathtt{d}\pi(x)v, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}, \quad 0 \neq v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty.$$

Then $s_\pi(x) = \sup\langle I_\pi, -x\rangle$ shows that s_π is a lower semi-continuous homogeneous convex function.

Before we turn to the main point of this section, we note the following characterization of those representations where all operators are smooth.

Proposition 3.1. *The identity $\mathbf{1} \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a smoothing operator if and only if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^\infty$. If the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a barreled space, then this is equivalent to $\pi \colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ being a morphism of Lie groups when $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ carries the norm topology.*

Proof. The first assertion is obvious. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a barreled space and $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{H}$. Then π is a smooth representation and the closed operators $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)$ are everywhere defined, hence bounded by the Closed Graph Theorem. As a consequence, the momentum set $I_\pi \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ (cf. Definition 3.1) is weak-$*$-bounded. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is barreled, then this implies that I_π is equicontinuous, so that Theorem 3.1 in [21] shows that π is a morphism of Lie groups. The converse is clear. □

Theorem 3.1 (Zellner's Smooth Vector Theorem)**.** *Let $\pi : G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a semibounded unitary representation and $x_0 \in W_\pi$. Then we have $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$. Moreover, for every continuous seminorm p on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that*

$$c := \sup\{s_\pi(x_0 + x) : x \in \mathfrak{g}, p(x) \leq 1\} < \infty \tag{8}$$

and $N := \frac{1}{i}\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0) + (c+1) \cdot \mathbf{1}$ we have

$$\|N^k \mathtt{d}\pi(x)v\| \leq p_k(x)\|N^{k+1}v\| \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{9}$$

Here $(p_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a sequence of continuous seminorms on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined recursively by

$$p_0(x) := p(x) + \frac{1}{2}p([x, x_0]) \quad \text{and} \quad p_{k+1}(x) := p_k(x) + p_k([x_0, x]).$$

Proof. Since $x_0 \in W_\pi$, there exists a continuous seminorm p on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying (8). Then $N \geq \mathbf{1}$ and

$$|\langle \tfrac{1}{i}\mathtt{d}\pi(x)v, v\rangle| \leq c\|v\|^2 + \langle \tfrac{1}{i}\mathtt{d}\pi(x_0)v, v\rangle \leq \langle Nv, v\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, x \in \mathfrak{g}, p(x) \leq 1. \tag{10}$$

Hence

$$|\langle \tfrac{1}{i}\mathtt{d}\pi(x)v, v\rangle| \leq p(x)\langle Nv, v\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, x \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{11}$$

Note that $\mathtt{d}\pi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $p(x) = 0$ by (10). In view of Lemma 4.1 in [29], $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subset \mathcal{D}^\infty(N)$ is dense w.r.t. the C^∞-topology on $\mathcal{D}^\infty(N)$ because it is invariant under the unitary one-parameter group $e^{i\mathbb{R}N}$. Thus $\overline{N^k|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}} \supset N^k|_{\mathcal{D}^\infty(N)}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\mathcal{D}^\infty(N) = \mathcal{D}^\infty(N^{k/2})$ is a core for $N^{k/2}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $N \geq \mathbf{1}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ is a core for $N^{k/2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ is a core for $N^{1/2}$ and $N^{3/2}$. As in Subsection 3.1, let $\mathcal{H}_n$ denote the Hilbert completion of $\mathcal{D}(N^{n/2})$ w.r.t. $\|v\|_n := \|N^{n/2}v\|, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Set $A_x := \frac{1}{i}\mathtt{d}\pi(x), x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_1$ is a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle Nx, y\rangle$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Since $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ is a core for $N^{1/2}$, $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $N^{-1}A_x : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_1$ is a symmetric operator on $\mathcal{H}_1$. From (11) we obtain [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, \|v\|_1 \leq 1} \|A_x v\|_{-1} &= \sup_{v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, \|v\|_1 \leq 1} \|N^{-1}A_x v\|_1 \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, \|v\|_1 \leq 1} |\langle NN^{-1}A_x v, v\rangle| \leq p(x). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Therefore A_x extends to a bounded operator $\widehat{A}_x \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_{-1})$ with $\|\widehat{A}_x\|_{1,-1} \leq p(x)$. A priori $[N, \widehat{A}_x] \in B(\mathcal{H}_3, \mathcal{H}_{-3})$. Since $[N, A_x] = \mathtt{d}\pi([x, x_0]) = iA_{[x,x_0]}$, we obtain from (12)

$$\|[N, \widehat{A}_x]v\|_{-1} \leq p([x, x_0])\|v\|_1 \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}_3$ is dense (as $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ is a core for $N^{3/2}$) we conclude that $[N, \widehat{A}_x](\mathcal{H}_3) \subset \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ and further $[N, \widehat{A}_x] \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_{-1})$ with $\|[N, \widehat{A}_x]\|_{1,-1} \leq p([x, x_0])$. With Lemma 3.1 we obtain that $\widehat{A}_x \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H})$ and

$$\|\widehat{A}_x\|_{2,0} \leq p(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(p(x) + p([x, x_0]))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq p(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}p([x, x_0])$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tfrac{1}{i}\mathtt{d}\pi(x)v\| &\leq (p(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}p([x, x_0])) \cdot \|Nv\| \\ &\leq (p(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}p([x, x_0]))(\|\mathtt{d}\pi(x_0)v\| + (c+1)\|v\|) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Since $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subset \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$ is dense in the C^∞-topology (Lemma 4.1 in [29]), this estimate implies that the map $\mathtt{d}\pi : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{H}^\infty \to \mathcal{H}$ extends uniquely to a continuous bilinear map

$$\beta : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)) \to \mathcal{H},$$

where $\mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$ is equipped with the C^∞-topology w.r.t. $U_t := \pi(\exp(tx_0))$. Moreover $\beta(x, v) = \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v$ (since $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)$ is closed) and $U_t\beta(x, v) = \beta(\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(tx_0))x, U_tv)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$. Smoothness of the map $t \mapsto U_t\beta(x, v)$ implies that β takes values in $\mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)) \subset \mathcal{D}^\infty(\pi)$. Let $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be the continuous seminorm from the statement of the theorem. By (13) we have $\|\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v\| \leq p_0(x)\|Nv\|$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(N) = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$. We now show inductively that

$$\|N^k\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v\| \leq p_k(x)\|N^{k+1}v\| \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(N).$$

Assume that this holds for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|N^{k+1}\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v\| &\leq \|N^k\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)Nv\| + \|[N, N^k\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)]v\| \\ &= \|N^k\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)Nv\| + \|N^k\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}([x_0, x])v\| \\ &\leq p_k(x)\|N^{k+2}v\| + p_k([x_0, x])\|N^{k+1}v\| \leq p_{k+1}(x)\|N^{k+2}v\| \end{aligned}$$

---

[2] Here we use that, for a (densely defined) symmetric operator $A \colon \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{H}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\|A\| = \sup\{|\langle Av, v\rangle| \colon v \in \mathcal{D}(A), \|v\| \leq 1\}.$$

This relation is well-known if $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{H}$, which implies that A is bounded. If $A \geq 0$, then it follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

In general, the relation "$\geq$" holds trivially. For the converse, assume the right hand side has a finite value c. Then $A + c\mathbf{1} \geq 0$ is positive, hence bounded, and this implies that A is bounded, so that its closure $\overline{A}$ is defined on $\mathcal{H}$. As $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, the assertion now follows from case where A is bounded.

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(N)$. Since on $\mathcal{D}^\infty(N) = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$ the C^∞-topology w.r.t. N coincides with the C^∞-topology w.r.t. $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)$, we conclude that the map

$$\beta : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)) \to \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)), \quad \beta(x, v) = \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v$$

is continuous. In particular $\mathfrak{g}^k \to \mathcal{H}, (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \mapsto \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_1) \cdots \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_k)v$ is continuous and k-linear for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$. Now Theorem 1.1(i) yields $\mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)) = \mathcal{H}^\infty$. ☐

Remark 3.1. Let $\pi : G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a semibounded representation and $x_0 \in W_\pi$.

(a) For $c > s_\pi(x_0)$ the set $M_c := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : s_\pi(x_0 \pm x) < c\}$ is convex, balanced and absorbing. We may choose the seminorm p in the preceding theorem to be the Minkowski functional of M_c, i.e.,

$$p(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : s_\pi(x_0 \pm \tfrac{x}{t}) < c\}. \tag{14}$$

We may also consider $M_c = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : s_\pi(x_0 \pm x) < c \ \wedge \ x_0 \pm x \in W_\pi\}$, which is open, convex and balanced, and take its Minkowski functional to be the seminorm p. This may be helpful to study continuity properties of p if $s_\pi|_{W_\pi}$ is known.

(b) Let τ be the (not necessarily Hausdorff) locally convex topology on $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the seminorm p in (14). The proof of the preceding theorem shows that, for all $v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the map

$$\mathfrak{g}^k \to \mathcal{H}, \quad (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \mapsto \mathtt{d}\pi(x_1) \cdots \mathtt{d}\pi(x_k)v$$

is continuous when $\mathfrak{g}$ is equipped with the locally convex topology generated by the maps

$$f_k : \mathfrak{g} \to (\mathfrak{g}, \tau), \quad x \mapsto \mathrm{ad}(x_0)^k x$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\pi : G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a semibounded representation and $x_0 \in W_\pi$. We endow $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$ with the C^∞-topology w.r.t. $\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)$. Then the action $G \times \mathcal{H}^\infty \to \mathcal{H}^\infty, (g, v) \mapsto \pi(g)v$ is smooth.*

Proof. Set $B := \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)$. The C^∞-topology on $\mathcal{D}^\infty(B)$ is generated by the seminorms $x \mapsto \|B^k x\|, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By the proof of Theorem 3.1, the map

$$\beta : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{D}^\infty(B) \to \mathcal{D}^\infty(B), \quad \beta(x, v) = \mathtt{d}\pi(x)v$$

is bilinear and continuous. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the map

$$f_k : G \times \mathcal{D}^\infty(B) \to \mathcal{H}, \quad f_k(g, v) = B^k\pi(g)v = \pi(g)\mathtt{d}\pi(\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})x_0)^k v,$$

is continuous since β and the map $G \times \mathcal{D}^\infty(B) \to \mathcal{H}, (g, v) \mapsto \pi(g)v$ are continuous. As $f_k(g, v) = \frac{d^k}{dt^k}\Big|_{t=0} \pi(\exp(tx_0)g)v$ and $\mathcal{D}^\infty(B) = \mathcal{H}^\infty$ the partial derivatives of f_k exist and are given by

$$\mathtt{d}f_k(g, v)(g.x, w) = B^k\pi(g)\mathtt{d}\pi(x)v + B^k\pi(g)w = f_k(g, \beta(x, v)) + f_k(g, w)$$

In particular they are continuous. Thus $f : G \times \mathcal{D}^\infty(B) \to \mathcal{D}^\infty(B), f(g, v) = \pi(g)v$ is C^1 and

$$\mathtt{d}f(g, v)(g.x, w) = f(g, \beta(x, v)) + f(g, w).$$

We conclude that, if f is C^k, then $\mathtt{d}f$ is also C^k, i.e., f is C^{k+1}. By induction we see that f is smooth. ☐

4 Host algebras from smoothing operators

In this section we explain how smoothing operators obtained naturally from a unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of a metrizable Lie group can be used to construct host algebras. Our results are rather complete for the class of semibounded representations, for which we can build on Theorem 3.1.

Definition 4.1. Let G be a topological group. A *host algebra for G* is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, \eta)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a C^*-algebra and $\eta\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(M(\mathcal{A}))$ is a group homomorphism such that:

(H1) For each non-degenerate representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the representation $\widetilde{\pi} \circ \eta$ of G is continuous. Here $\widetilde{\pi}\colon M(\mathcal{A}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the canonical extension of the non-degenerate representation π to the multiplier algebra.

(H2) For each complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the corresponding map

$$\eta^*\colon \mathrm{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Rep}(G, \mathcal{H}), \quad \pi \mapsto \widetilde{\pi} \circ \eta$$

is injective.

Remark 4.1. We recall that, if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is a closed $*$-subalgebra, then its multiplier algebra is given concretely by

$$M(\mathcal{A}) \cong \{B \in B(\mathcal{H})\colon B\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}B \subseteq \mathcal{A}\}$$

(Section 3.12 in [35]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a smooth unitary representation of the metrizable Lie group G and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a $*$-invariant subset of smoothing operators, i.e., $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Let $\mathcal{A} := C^*(\pi(G)\mathcal{B}\pi(G))$. Then*

$$\pi(G) \subseteq \{C \in B(\mathcal{H})\colon C\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}C \subseteq \mathcal{A}\} \cong M(\mathcal{A})$$

which leads to a homomorphism $\eta_G\colon G \to M(\mathcal{A})$. For every non-degenerate representation $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the corresponding representation $\widetilde{\rho} \circ \eta_G$ of G is smooth.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} := \pi(G)\mathcal{B}\pi(G)$, so that $\mathcal{C}^n = \pi(G)(\mathcal{B}\pi(G))^n = (\pi(G)\mathcal{B})^n\pi(G)$. Since all the sets $\mathcal{C}^n$ are $*$-invariant, $\mathcal{A}$ is the closed span of $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{C}^n$. Further, $\pi(G)\mathcal{C}^n + \mathcal{C}^n\pi(G) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^n$ implies that $\pi(G) \subseteq M(\mathcal{A})$, which leads to the homomorphism $\eta_G\colon G \to M(\mathcal{A})$.

Next we observe that all operators in $C \in \mathcal{C}^n, n > 0$ are smoothing, so that the maps

$$G \to B(\mathcal{H}), \quad g \mapsto \pi(g)C, \quad C \in \mathcal{C}^n$$

are smooth by Theorem 2.1. Since $\bigcup_n \mathcal{C}^n$ spans a dense subspace of $\mathcal{A}$, we thus obtain a dense subspace of smooth vectors for the left multiplier action of G on $\mathcal{A}$. In particular, this action is strongly continuous.

From this property one already derives that every non-degenerate representation $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ defines a smooth representation $\rho_G := \widetilde{\rho} \circ \eta_G\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{K})$. □

Remark 4.2. The preceding lemma implies that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the condition (H1) of a host algebra. To ensure that (H2) is also satisfied, we have to pick the subset $\mathcal{B}$ in such a way that, for two representations $\rho_1, \rho_2\colon \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{K})$ for which $\widetilde{\rho}_1|_{\eta_G(G)} = \widetilde{\rho}_2|_{\eta_G(G)}$, we have $\rho_1|_{\mathcal{B}} = \rho_2|_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Theorem 4.1 (Subgroup Host Algebra Theorem)**.** *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation of the metrizable Lie group G and $\iota_H\colon H \to G$ a morphism of Lie groups where $\dim H < \infty$ and $\pi_H := \pi \circ \iota_H$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi_H).$$

Then $\mathcal{A} := C^*\big(\pi(G)\pi_H(C_c^\infty(H))\pi(G)\big)$ is a host algebra for a class of smooth representations $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ of G.

Proof. Our assumption implies that the operators $\pi_H(f)$, $f \in C_c^\infty(H)$, are smoothing (Example 2.1(a)). We now apply Lemma 4.1 with $\mathcal{B} := \pi_H(C_c^\infty(H))$.

For a non-degenerate representation $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, we write $\rho_G := \widetilde{\rho} \circ \eta_G$ for the corresponding smooth unitary representation of G. Since the multiplier action of H on $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous, $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$, so that the representation $\rho|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is non-degenerate. Next we note that, for $h \in H$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(H)$, we have

$$\widetilde{\rho}(\pi_H(h))\rho(\pi_H(f)) = \rho(\pi_H(h)\pi_H(f)) = \rho(\pi_H(\delta_h * f)),$$

and this implies that $\rho_G(\iota(h)) = \widetilde{\rho}(\pi_H(h))$ is the unique representation of H corresponding to the non-degenerate representation $\rho \circ \pi_H$ of the convolution $*$-algebra $C_c^\infty(H)$. We conclude that

$$\rho(\pi_H(f)) = \int_H f(h)\rho_G(\iota(h))\, dh \qquad \text{for} \qquad f \in C_c^\infty(H).$$

In particular, ρ_G determines the representation of $\mathcal{A}$ and thus $\pi\colon G \to M(\mathcal{A})$ defines a host algebra of G. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a C^*-subalgebra and $T = T^*$ be a selfadjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ bounded from above such that*

$$e^T \in \mathcal{A}, \quad e^{i\mathbb{R}T} \subseteq M(\mathcal{A}),$$

and the multiplier action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by $U_t := e^{-itT}$ is continuous. Then $e^{-izT} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$, and we have for every non-degenerate representation $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and the corresponding unitary one-parameter group $\widetilde{\rho}(U_t) = e^{-itA}$ the relations

$$\rho(e^{-izT}) = e^{-izA} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup \operatorname{Spec}(A) \leq \sup \operatorname{Spec}(T).$$

Proof. Let $\widehat{U}_z := e^{-izT}$ denote the holomorphic extension of the one-parameter group U to the open upper half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$. Then $U_{\mathbb{C}_+} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ follows from

$$\widehat{U}_{i+t} = U_t \widehat{U}_i \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

by analytic continuation. As $\mathcal{L} := C^*(\widehat{U}_{\mathbb{C}_+}) = C^*(U_{L^1(\mathbb{R})})$ (Theorem 8.2 in [20]) and the multiplier action of $\mathbb{R}$ given by left multiplication with U_t is continuous, we have $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$. It follows that any approximate identity of the C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is an approximate identity in $\mathcal{A}$. This implies that, for every representation $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the holomorphic representation

$$\widehat{V} := \rho \circ \widehat{U} \colon \mathbb{C}_+ \to B(\mathcal{K})$$

is non-degenerate. Then $V := \widetilde{\rho} \circ U$ is the corresponding uniquely determined multiplier extension to $\mathbb{R}$ because

$$V_t \widehat{V}_z = \rho(U_t \widehat{U}_z) = \rho(\widehat{U}_{t+z}) = \widehat{V}_{t+z}.$$

This implies that the infinitesimal generator A of V satisfies

$$e^{-izA} = \widehat{V}_z = \rho(\widehat{U}_z) = \rho(e^{-izT})$$

(cf. Proposition VI.3.2 in [17]). We further obtain from

$$e^{\sup \operatorname{Spec} A} = \|e^A\| = \|\widehat{V}_i\| = \|\rho(\widehat{U}_i)\| \leq \|\widehat{U}_i\| = \|e^T\| = e^{\sup \operatorname{Spec}(T)}$$

the relation $\sup \operatorname{Spec}(A) \leq \sup \operatorname{Spec}(T)$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation of the metrizable Lie group G. If*

$$\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)) \quad \text{for some} \quad x_0 \in \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{with} \quad \sup \operatorname{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)) < \infty,$$

then $\mathcal{A} := C^*\big(\pi(G)e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)}\pi(G)\big)$ is a host algebra for a class of smooth representations $(\rho_G, \mathcal{K})$ of G satisfying

$$\sup \operatorname{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho_G}(x_0)) \leq \sup \operatorname{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)). \tag{15}$$

Proof. Our assumption implies that the operator $B := e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)}$ is bounded. Let

$$m := \log \|B\| = \sup \operatorname{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0))$$

and $\pi_{x_0}(t) := \pi(\exp tx_0)$. Then the unitary representation π_{x_0} integrates to a representation of $C^*(\mathbb{R}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R})$ which factors through the restriction to $C_0(]-\infty, m])$ and B is the image of the function e^t under this map. Since all functions $t^n e^t$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, vanish at infinity on $]-\infty, m]$, the operator B is a smooth vector for the left multiplication action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $B(\mathcal{H})$ given by π_{x_0} (Example 2.1(b)). Therefore B is smoothing for π_{x_0}, and since $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)) = \mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi_{x_0})$, it is smoothing for π. We now apply Lemma 4.1 with the one-element set $\mathcal{B} := \{e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)}\}$.

For a non-degenerate representation $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, we write $\rho_G := \widetilde{\rho} \circ \eta_G$ for the corresponding smooth unitary representation of G. Then Lemma 4.2 implies that

$$e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho_G}(x_0)} = \rho(e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)}).$$

This formula shows that ρ is uniquely determined by ρ_G, and therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is a host algebra for G. We further obtain (15) from Lemma 4.2. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a semibounded unitary representation of the metrizable Lie group G, then $\mathcal{A} := C^*\big(\pi(G)e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(W_\pi)}\pi(G)\big)$ is a host algebra for a class of smooth representations $(\rho_G, \mathcal{K})$ of G satisfying*

$$I_{\rho_G} \subseteq I_\pi. \tag{16}$$

Proof. First we recall from Theorem 3.1 that $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x))$ holds for every $x \in W_\pi$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we see that $\mathcal{B} := e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(W_\pi)}$ consists of smoothing operators. Lemma 4.2 yields

$$e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho_G}(x)} = \rho(e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)}) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in W_\pi$$

for every non-degenerate representation $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{A}$. This formula shows that ρ is uniquely determined by ρ_G, and therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is a host algebra for G. We further obtain from Lemma 4.2 the relation

$$\sup \operatorname{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho_G}(x)) \leq \sup \operatorname{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in W_\pi.$$

Since $B(I_\pi)^0 = W_\pi$, Proposition 6.4 in [20] implies that

$$I_\pi = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}' \colon (\forall x \in W_\pi)\, \alpha(x) \geq \inf\langle I_\pi, x\rangle\}.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\langle I_\pi, x\rangle &= \inf \operatorname{Spec}(-i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)) = -\sup \operatorname{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)) \\ &\leq -\sup \operatorname{Spec}(i\overline{\mathtt{d}\rho_G}(x)) = \inf\langle I_{\rho_G}, x\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we see that, for all $\alpha \in I_{\rho_G}$ and $x \in W_\pi$, we have $\alpha(x) \geq \inf\langle I_\pi, x\rangle$, hence $\alpha \in I_\pi$, and therefore $I_{\rho_G} \subseteq I_\pi$. ☐

Corollary 4.1. *Let $C \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ be a weak-$*$-closed* $\operatorname{Ad}^*(G)$*-invariant subset which is semi-equicontinuous in the sense that its support function*

$$s_C \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad s_C(x) := \sup\langle C, x\rangle$$

is bounded in the neighborhood of some point $x_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then there exists a host algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \eta)$ of G whose representations correspond to those semibounded unitary representations $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of G for which $s_\pi \leq s_C$, i.e., $-I_\pi \subseteq C$.

Proof. If $(\pi_j, \mathcal{H}_j)_{j\in J}$ is a family of semibounded unitary representations of G with $I_{\pi_j} \subseteq -C$, then their direct $\pi := \oplus_{j\in J}\pi_j$ also is a smooth representation which satisfies $s_\pi \leq \sup_{j\in J} s_{\pi_j} \leq s_C$, so that we obtain $I_\pi \subseteq -C$ (Proposition 6.4 in [20]).

Now let $\mathfrak{S}_C \subseteq C^\infty(G, \mathbb{C})$ be the convex set of all smooth positive definite functions $\varphi \colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ for which the corresponding GNS representation $(\pi_\varphi, \mathcal{H}_\varphi)$ is semibounded with $s_{\pi_\varphi} \leq s_C$. As $\mathfrak{S}_C$ is a set, the direct sum representation $\pi_C := \oplus_{\varphi\in\mathfrak{S}_C}\pi_\varphi$ is defined. It is semibounded with $s_{\pi_C} \leq s_C$. As every semibounded representation $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ with $s_\rho \leq s_C$ is a direct sum of cyclic ones with smooth cyclic unit vector, it can be realized in some multiple of the representation π_C.

We now consider the host algebra $\mathcal{A}_C := C^*\big(\pi_C(G)e^{i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi_C}(W_\pi)}\pi_C(G)\big)$ from Theorem 4.3. Then all representations ρ of $\mathcal{A}_C$ correspond to representations ρ_G of G with $s_{\rho_G} \leq s_{\pi_C}$. Conversely, the construction of $\mathcal{A}_C$ implies that all G-representations π with $s_\pi \leq s_C$ are of the form $\pi = \rho_G$ because this is true for all cyclic ones. ☐

4.1 Independence of $\mathcal{A}$ from the elements x_0

Lemma 4.3. *Let H be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which is bounded from below, $U_t = e^{itH}$ the corresponding strongly continuous unitary one-parameter group and $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a smoothing operator for U. Then, for $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$, the map $f : \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \to B(\mathcal{H}), z \mapsto e^{ziH}A$ is continuous.*

Proof. From Proposition VI.3.2 in [17] we know that f is holomorphic (therefore continuous) on $\mathbb{C}_+$. In view of $f(z + t) = U_t f(z)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it suffices to show that f is continuous at $z_0 = 0$. For $v \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(z)v - f(0)v\| &= \|e^{ziH}Av - Av\| = \|\int_0^1 e^{tziH} zHAv\, dt\| \\ &\leq |z| \int_0^1 \|e^{tziH}\| \cdot \|HAv\|\, dt \leq |z| e^{(\operatorname{Im} z)\max(0, \sup(\operatorname{Spec} -H))}\|HAv\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since A is a smoothing operator, HA is bounded (Lemma 2.1) and thus f is continuous at 0. ☐

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\pi : G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a semibounded representation of the metrizable Lie group G, $x_0 \in W_\pi$ and $\mathcal{A} = C^*(\pi(G)e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x_0)}\pi(G))$. Then $e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x)} \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $x \in W_\pi$ and, in particular, $\mathcal{A} = C^*(\pi(G)e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(W_\pi)}\pi(G))$.*

Proof. We already know that $e^{ti\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x_0)} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $t > 0$ (Lemma 4.2). Let $t > 0$ and set $y_0 := tx_0$. Let $\lambda \in B(\mathcal{H})'$ be a bounded linear functional w.r.t. the operator norm such that $\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$. By Lemma 4.3 the map

$$f : \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad z \mapsto \lambda(e^{z\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x)}e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(y_0)})$$

is continuous and holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}_+$. Moreover $f|_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$. Thus $f = 0$. By the Hahn–Banach Theorem we conclude $e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x)}e^{it\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x_0)} \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $t > 0$. It follows that

$$e^{it\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x_0)}e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x)} = \left(e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x)}e^{it\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x_0)}\right)^* \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for every } t > 0. \tag{17}$$

An argument similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2 implies that $e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x)}$ is a smoothing operator for the action $\pi_x(t) := \pi(\exp(tx))$ of $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Consequently, by Theorem 3.1 the operator $e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x)}$ is a smoothing operator for $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$. Letting $t \to 0$ in (17), from Lemma 4.3 it follows that $e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x)} \in \mathcal{A}$. ☐

5 Liminality of the constructed C^*-algebras

If, for an irreducible representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the C^*-algebra $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ contains a non-zero compact operator, then $K(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{A})$ (Corollary 4.1.10 in [5]). If $\pi(\mathcal{A}) = K(\mathcal{H})$ for all irreducible representations $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called *liminal*.

Remark 5.1. For $\mathcal{A} = C^*\big(\pi(G)\mathcal{B}\pi(G)\big)$ as in Lemma 4.1 and an irreducible representation $(\rho, \mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, we have $\rho(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq K(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq K(\mathcal{H})$.

Example 5.1. The host algebras of the form $\mathcal{A} := C^*\big(\pi(G)\pi_H(C_c^\infty(H))\pi(G)\big)$ from Theorem 4.1 are liminal if, for every irreducible representation $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the representation $\rho_H := \widetilde{\rho} \circ \eta_G \circ \iota_H$ maps $C_c^\infty(H)$ into compact operators. This holds in the following situations:

(a) If H is a connected reductive Lie group with compact center and ρ_H is irreducible (Theorem 6.4 in [11]).

(b) If H is abelian and the spectral measure P on $\widehat{H} = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathbb{T})$ corresponding to ρ_H is a locally finite sum of point measures with finite-dimensional ranges. For $H = \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi(t) = e^{itA}$, this condition is equivalent to the compactness of the resolvent $(A + i\mathbf{1})^{-1}$ (Lemma C.3 in [11]).

(c) If H is compact and all irreducible representations of H occur in ρ_H with finite multiplicities (Proposition C.5 in [11]).

Example 5.2. The host algebras of the form $\mathcal{A} := C^*\big(\pi(G)e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\pi}(W_\pi)}\pi(G)\big)$ constructed from a semibounded representation in Theorem 4.3 are liminal if for every irreducible semibounded representation $(\rho_G, \mathcal{H})$ of G, the operators $e^{i\overline{\mathrm{d}\rho_G}(x)}$, $x \in W_\pi$, are compact. This is in particular the case if:

(a) G is finite dimensional by Theorem X.4.10 in [17].

(b) $G = \mathrm{Vir}$ is the Virasoro group by Proposition 4.11 in [22] and Proposition 4.1 above. See also [27] for an alternative construction of corresponding C^*-algebras.

(c) G is a double extension of a twisted loop group

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi(K) = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, K) \colon f(t + 2\pi) = \varphi^{-1}(f(t))\},$$

where K is a semisimple compact Lie group and φ a finite order automorphism. In this case the generator $\mathbf{d}$ of the translation action is contained in $W_\pi \cup -W_\pi$, and this implies that semibounded irreducible representation are positive (or negative) energy representations, hence highest weight representations (Theorems 5.4 and 6.1 in [25]).

6 Perspectives

We conclude this paper with a discussion of several applications and open problems related to smoothing operators and host algebras for infinite dimensional Lie groups.

6.1 Holomorphic induction

We have seen in Section 3 that, for every semibounded representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ with $x_0 \in W_\pi$, the selfadjoint operator $i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0)$ has the same smooth vectors as G. Let $P = P[a, b]$ be a spectral projection of this operator corresponding to a compact interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Since $P(\mathcal{H})$ consists of smooth vectors for the one-parameter group π_{x_0}, the operator P is a smoothing operator for G. Further $P = P^2 = P^*$ implies that the map $G^2 \to B(\mathcal{H}), (g, h) \mapsto \pi(g)P\pi(h)$ is smooth, and in particular the map

$$G \to B(\mathcal{H}), \quad g \mapsto \pi(g)P\pi(g)^{-1}$$

is smooth. Geometrically, this means that the closed subspace $P(\mathcal{H})$ has smooth G-orbit map in the Graßmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ of closed subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$.

If, in addition, $P(\mathcal{H})$ is G-cyclic, this can be used obtain realizations of the representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ in spaces of smooth sections of vector bundles over G/G_P, where $G_P = \{g \in G \colon \pi(g)P = P\pi(g)\}$ (cf. [27], [22], [25], [1]).

6.2 Smoothness and Fréchet structure on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$

If E is a locally convex space and $F \subseteq E$ a subspace, then the Closed Graph Theorem implies that F carries at most one Fréchet topology for which the inclusion $F \hookrightarrow E$ is continuous.

This implies in particular, that, for a smooth representation of a Lie group G, the space $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ carries at most one Fréchet space topology for which the inclusion $\mathcal{H}^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is continuous. We call π *Fréchet smooth* if it does. In Proposition 5.4 of [23] we have seen that all unitary representations of Banach–Lie groups are Fréchet smooth. As Theorem 3.1 shows, for a semibounded representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ and $x \in W_\pi$, we have $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi_x)$. Therefore every semibounded representation is Fréchet smooth. In both cases the group G acts smoothly on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ with respect to the Fréchet topology (Theorem 4.4 in [23] and Proposition 3.2).
Problem: Is the G-action on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ smooth for every Fréchet smooth unitary representation?

6.3 Application to dense inclusions of Lie groups

The following proposition is sometimes useful to extend semibounded representations to larger groups. It demonstrates impressively the power of the methods used to prove Theorem 3.1 and that the extendibility of a semibounded representation is completely controlled by its support function.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $G \to G^\sharp$ be a smooth inclusion of Lie groups for which the inclusion $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\sharp$ has dense range and assume that G is connected and $G^\sharp$ is 1-connected. Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a semibounded unitary representation of G for which $W_\pi = \mathfrak{g} \cap C$ for an open convex cone C in $\mathfrak{g}^\sharp$ such that $s_\pi|_{W_\pi}$ extends to a continuous map $s_\pi^\sharp : C \to \mathbb{R}$. Then π extends to a semibounded representation $\pi^\sharp$ of $G^\sharp$.*

Proof. Choose $x_0 \in W_\pi$ and $c_0 > s_\pi(x_0)$. Then the set

$$M := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : x_0 \pm x \in W_\pi \text{ and } s_\pi(x_0 \pm x) < c_0\}$$

is open, convex, and balanced (cf. Remark 3.1), and therefore the Minkowski functional

$$p(x) := \inf\left\{t > 0 : t^{-1}x \in M\right\}$$

satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Let c be as in Theorem 3.1 and

$$N = -i\overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x_0) + (c + 1) \cdot \mathbf{1}.$$

By Theorem 3.1, $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{D}^\infty(N)$ and the map

$$\beta : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{D}^\infty(N) \to \mathcal{D}^\infty(N), \quad (x, v) \mapsto \overline{\mathtt{d}\pi}(x)v$$

is continuous. Moreover

$$\|N^k\beta(x,v)\| \leq p_k(x)\|N^{k+1}v\| \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \tag{18}$$

where p_k are the seminorms defined in Theorem 3.1. Next we prove that each seminorm p_k extends uniquely to a continuous seminorm on $\mathfrak{g}^\sharp$. From the definition of p_k it is clear that it is enough to show that the seminorm p can be extended to $\mathfrak{g}^\sharp$. As the extension of p we can take the Minkowski functional of

$$M^\sharp := \left\{x \in \mathfrak{g}^\sharp \,:\, x_0 \pm x \in C \text{ and } s_\pi^\sharp(x_0 \pm x) < c_0\right\}.$$

In particular, β extends (uniquely) to a continuous map $\beta^\sharp : \mathfrak{g}^\sharp \times \mathcal{D}^\infty(N) \to \mathcal{D}^\infty(N)$ also satisfying (18). Since β defines a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{D}^\infty(N)$, by continuity $\beta^\sharp$ defines a representation

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{g}^\sharp \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{D}^\infty(N)), \quad \alpha(x)v = \beta^\sharp(x,v)$$

by skew-symmetric operators. Since $[N, \alpha(x)] = i\alpha([x, x_0])$ on $\mathcal{D}^\infty(N)$, by (18), we obtain from Theorem 5.2.1 in [36] a (unique) continuous representation $\pi^\sharp : G^\sharp \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ such that, for every $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, G^\sharp)$ with $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{1}$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(N)$, the curve $\pi^{\gamma,v}(t) := \pi^\sharp(\gamma(t))v$ is C^1 and satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \pi^{\gamma,v}(t) = \alpha(\gamma'(0))v.$$

By Lemma 3.3 in [23] we obtain that each $v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(N)$ is a C^1-vector for $\pi^\sharp$ and with Theorem 1.1(i) we further conclude that $\mathcal{D}^\infty(N) \subset \mathcal{H}^\infty(\pi^\sharp)$. Thus $\pi^\sharp$ is smooth and since $s_{\pi^\sharp}|_C \leq s_\pi^\sharp$ it follows that $\pi^\sharp$ is semibounded. Since $\mathtt{d}\pi^\sharp|_\mathfrak{g} = \mathtt{d}\pi$ and G is connected, $\pi^\sharp|_G = \pi$ by Proposition 3.4 in [21]. ☐

Acknowledgments

K.-H. Neeb and C. Zellner acknowledge support by the DFG-grant NE 413/7-2 in the framework of the Schwerpunktprogramm “Darstellungstheorie”. H. Salmasian acknowledges support by the NSERC Discovery Grant RGPIN-2013-355464.

References

[1] D. Beltita and J. E. Galé, Reproducing kernels and positivity of vector bundles in infinite dimensions, in *Ordered Structures and Applications*, eds. M. de Jeu, B. de Pagter, O. van Gaans, and M. Veraar, Trends in Mathematics, 51–75 (Springer, Berlin, 2016).

[2] J. Bochnak, and J. Siciak, Polynomials and multilinear mappings in topological vector spaces, *Studia Math.* **39** (1971), 59–76.

[3] N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale. Chap. 5 à 10* (Hermann, Paris, 1974).

[4] G. van Dijk, K.-H. Neeb, H. Salmasian and C. Zellner, On the characterization of trace class representations and Schwartz operators, *J. Lie Theory* **26:3** (2016), 787–805.

[5] J. Dixmier, *Les C^*-algèbres et leurs représentations* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964).

[6] J. Dixmier and P. Malliavin, *Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indéfiniment différentiables*, Bull. Soc. math., 2e série **102** (1978), 305–330.

[7] H. Glöckner, Lie group structures on quotient groups and universal complexifications for infinite-dimensional Lie groups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **194** (2002), 347–409.

[8] —, Regularity properties of infinite-dimensional Lie groups, and semiregularity, Preprint, arXiv:math.FA.1208.0715.

[9] H. Glöckner and K.-H. Neeb, *Infinite dimensional Lie Groups* (book in preparation).

[10] H. Grundling, Generalizing group algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* **72** (2005), 742–762.

[11] H. Grundling and K.-H. Neeb, Crossed products of C^*-algebras for singular actions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266:8** (2014), 5199–5269.

[12] R. Hamilton, The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7** (1982), 65–222.

[13] B. Janssens and K. H. Neeb, Norm continuous unitary representations of Lie algebras of smooth sections, *International Mathematical Research Notices* **18** (2015), 9081–9137.

[14] —, Positive energy representations of gauge groups, in preparation.

[15] M. Magyar, *Continuous Linear Representations*, Math. Studies **168**, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992).

[16] J. Milnor, Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups, in *Relativité, groupes et topologie II (Les Houches, 1983)*, eds. B. DeWitt and R. Stora, 1007–1057 (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984).

[17] K.-H. Neeb, *Holomorphy and Convexity in Lie Theory,* Expositions in Mathematics **28** (de Gruyter Verlag, Berlin, 1999).

[18] —, Smooth vectors for highest weight representations, Glasgow Math. J. **42** (2000), 469–477.

[19] —, Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups, *Jap. J. Math. 3rd ser.* **1:2** (2006), 291–468.

[20] —, A complex semigroup approach to group algebras of infinite dimensional Lie groups, *Semigroup Forum* **77** (2008), 5–35.

[21] —, Semibounded unitary representations of infinite dimensional Lie groups, in *Infinite Dimensional Harmonic Analysis IV*, Eds. J. Hilgert et al, World Scientific, 2009; 209–222.

[22] —, Semibounded representations and invariant cones in infinite dimensional Lie algebras, *Confluentes Math.* **2:1** (2010), 37–134.

[23] —, On differentiable vectors for representations of infinite dimensional Lie groups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **259** (2010), 2814–2855.

[24] —, Semibounded representations of hermitian Lie groups, *Travaux mathematiques* **21** (2012), 29–109

[25] —, Semibounded unitary representations of double extensions of Hilbert–Loop groups, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **64:5** (2014), 1823–1892.

[26] K.-H. Neeb and H. Salmasian, Differentiable vectors and unitary representations of Fréchet–Lie supergroups, *Math. Zeit.* **275** (2013), 419–451.

[27] —, Classification of positive energy representations of the Virasoro group, *Internat. Math. Research Notices* **2015** (2015), 8620–8656

[28] —, Crossed product algebras and direct integral decomposition for Lie supergroups, *Pacific J. Math* **282** (2016), 213–232.

[29] K.-H. Neeb, and C. Zellner, Oscillator algebras with semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits, *Differ.Geom. Appl.* **31** (2013), 268–283.

[30] E. Nelson, Analytical vectors, *Ann. of Math.* **70** (1969), 572–615.

[31] —, Time-ordered operator products of sharp-time quadratic forms, *J. Funct. Anal.* **11** (1972), 211–219.

[32] Y. Neretin, A complex semigroup that contains the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle, *Funct. Anal. and Its Appl.* **21:2** (1987), 160–161.

[33] —, Holomorphic extension of representations of the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle, *Math. USSR Sbornik* **67:1** (1990), 75–97

[34] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness* (Academic Press, New York, 1975).

[35] G. K. Pedersen, *C^*–Algebras and their Automorphism Groups* (Academic Press, London, 1989).

[36] V. Toledano Laredo, Integrating unitary representations of infinite dimensional Lie groups, *Journal of Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 478–508.

[37] H. von Weizsäcker, In which spaces is every curve Lebesgue-Pettis integrable?, Preprint, arXiv:math.FA.1207.6034.

[38] C. Zellner, Semibounded unitary representations of oscillator groups, PhD Thesis, University Erlangen–Nuremberg, 2014.

[39] —, Complex semigroups for oscillator groups, *Internat. Math. Research Notices*, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnw102, to appear, arXiv:math.RT.1506.06240v1.

[40] —, On the existence of smooth vectors, in *Representation Theory—Current Trends and Perspectives*, eds. P. Littelmann et al, European Math. Society, , pp. 747–763, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep. (Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2017).

Karl-Hermann Neeb, Department Mathematik, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Cauerstrasse 11, 91058 Erlangen, Deutschland;
E-mail address: `neeb@math.fau.de`

Hadi Salmasian, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, 585 King Edward Ave, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5, Canada
E-mail address: `hsalmasi@uottawa.ca`

Christoph Zellner, Department Mathematik, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Cauerstrasse 11, 91058 Erlangen, Deutschland
E-mail address: `primes@gmx.de`